

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

UNITED STATES
FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE COURT
WASHINGTON, D.C.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

This matter came before the Court on the government's application for authority to conduct electronic surveillance pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, as amended, 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801-1811 ("FISA").

In accordance with the government's historical practice, the application and the proposed orders submitted to the Court in this matter tie the target's

Thus, the government alleges, and asks the Court to find, that there is probable cause to believe not only that the target uses, or is about to use

FISA does not require any such allegation or finding with respect to location. See 50 U.S.C. §§ 1804(a)(3), 1805(a)(2). In most cases, the government's practice of tying the target's no difficulty; here, however, that practice creates an issue with respect to the duration of the authority requested.

The government seeks authority to conduct surveillance on
The application, however

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~



The Court informed the government during the hearing that, because the Court finds probable cause to believe [redacted] the Court was prepared to grant the application [redacted] provided that the government drop its request for a specific finding that [redacted]. The government declined to modify its application in the manner suggested by the Court. Further, the government expressed concern about the Court issuing an order to the service provider that did not tie the surveillance to a specific premises.

Accordingly, the Court has issued orders authorizing the requested surveillance [redacted]



In the event that the government obtains such information, it may submit a motion to extend the duration of the authorization [redacted]. Such motion should seek an amendment to the Primary Order in the above-captioned docket extending the duration of authority for the surveillance [redacted] (or on such earlier date as the circumstances might justify), and, at the government's discretion, may include a secondary order to the service provider authorizing surveillance of these [redacted].

IT IS SO ORDERED [redacted]

Colleen Kollar-Kotelly

COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY
Judge, United States Foreign Intelligence
Surveillance Court

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~